IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 6966 of 2005**

-

Between:

Telaprolu Satyanarayana, s/o Sri Venkata Ratnam,
r/o Perala, Chirala D.M.C. Prakasam District.

**... PETITIONER**

AND

-

1 The District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ongole.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Chirala Mandal, Prakasam District.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ direction or order one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in taking steps to resume the land of the petitioner in Sy.No.864/4 to an extent of Ac.0.52 cents situated at Thotavaripalem Panchayat, Epurupalem Village, without following due process of law is arbitrary, illegal and contrary to law besides against the principles of natural justice and against the rules of revenue and consequently direct the respondent 1 to 3 not to dispossess him from his land of Ac.0.52 cents in Sy.No.864/4.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MRS.MADHAVI KAMBHAMPATI**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following:**

-

-

-

-

-

-

**THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

-

**WRIT PETITION NO.6966 OF 2005**

-

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in taking steps to resume the land of the petitioner in Sy.No.864/4 to an extent of Ac.0.52 cents situated at Thotavaripalem Panchayat, Epurupalem Village without following due process of law as arbitrary and illegal and consequently to direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to dispossess him from the land in question.

Admittedly, the petitioner was served with two separate notices dated 07-02-2005 issued by the third respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, calling upon the petitioner to produce title deeds of the petitioner in respect of the land to an extent of Ac.0.52 cents in Sy.No.864/4 and Ac.1.01 cents in Sy.No.855/8 respectively of Thotavaripalem Village, Eepurupalem Revenue Mandal. The petitioner states that soon after receiving the said notices; he submitted necessary explanation on 19-02-2005. Though the third respondent has not yet passed any order, the petitioner alleges that in the meanwhile, steps are being taken to dispossess him from the land in question high-handedly. Hence, this writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents not to dispossess the petitioner from the land in question , without passing any orders on the basis of the show cause notice and without communicating such order to the petitioner.

The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

**G. ROHINI, J**

**Dated: 31-03-2005**

**LSK**

To

1 The District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ongole.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Chirala Mandal, Prakasam District.

4 Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

5 Two C.D. copies.